

A History of Violence:
The “Culture of Honor” as a Determinant of Homicide in the US South

Pauline Grosjean *

April 20, 2011

Abstract

According to the culture of honor hypothesis, the high prevalence of homicides in the US South originates from the settlement of the region by herders from the fringes of Britain. This paper confirms that Scot or Scots-Irish settlements are associated with higher homicide today, but only in the South. The effect is strongest among whites and more pronounced where herding was more prevalent and institutional quality weaker. Results indicate that other white settlers adopted the Scots-Irish culture. The interpretation is that the culture of honor persisted in the South as an adaptive behavior to economic vulnerability and weak institutions.

Keywords: Cultural Persistence, Homicide, Institutions, Migration, Scots-Irish, US South

JEL codes: K42, N31, O15, Z13

*University of San Francisco. 2130 Fulton Street, San Francisco CA 94117, USA. pagrosjean@usfca.edu. Tel: +1 510 384 0141. I am thankful to Nathan Nunn for an enlightening discussion and to Daron Acemoglu, Philippe Aghion, Roland Benabou, Alberto Bisin, Sam Bowles, Robert Boyd, Matteo Cervellatti, Dov Cohen, Paul David, Bill Easterly, Raquel Fernandez, Joseph Ferrie, Oded Galor, Avner Greif, Luigi Guiso, Mike Lehmann, Peter Murrell, Peter Richerson, James Robinson, Paul Seabright, Gavin Wright, Giulio Zanella, Yves Zenou and all participants at the NBER Summer Institute Income Distribution and Macroeconomics, Stanford Economic History seminar, UW Madison ARE seminar, the CEPR conference on “Culture, Institutions and Crime”, Pacdev conference and Sciences Po-IZA workshop on “Trust, Civic Spirit and Economic Performance” for helpful comments and suggestions. I am grateful to Joseph Ferrie and Stelios Michalopoulos for sharing some data. I wish to thank Jon Kastelic for excellent research assistance.

1. Introduction

The average murder rate per 100,000 people between 2000 and 2007 in the Deep South of the United States was 8.55, nearly twice as high as in the rest of the country.¹ The respective roles of economic and cultural factors in explaining such a high prevalence of homicide-related violence in the South are still the object of much debate. It has been acknowledged that the South's high murder rate cannot be explained by traditional socio-economic or institutional determinants of crime (Cohen and Nisbett 1994, 1996). The inelasticity of homicide rates to income levels has been interpreted as a limitation of cost-benefit analysis of criminal behavior² (Levitt and Miles 2006). More recent economic analyses of crime appear similarly unsuitable to explain this Southern homicide specificity, which is essentially a white offender phenomenon.³ Some authors have suggested instead that the high Southern homicide rate is a product of cultural values condoning the use of lethal violence. While Hackney (1969) stresses the role of the defeat in the civil war in forming a distinct "Southern identity", Gastil (1971) and Wyatt-Brown (1982, 2001) highlight conditions in the pre-Civil war South, characterized namely by an "institutionalization of dueling" and an "exaggerated sense of honor".⁴

This paper reconciles economic and cultural theories of violent crime by highlighting the *economic* origins of the cultural factors underpinning interpersonal violence. The idea is that past ecological, economic and institutional conditions influence cultural values, which persist over time and underlie contemporary criminal behavior. Cohen and Nisbett (1994, 1996) hypothesize that the root of the Southern culture of honor lies with economic differences that led to cultural differences. Whereas the North of the United States was settled by farmers, the South was settled by people from Scotland and from Ulster- the so-called Scots-Irish, whose livelihood was based

¹ Source: Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program Data by the United States Department of Justice and Federal Bureau of Investigation and author's calculations.

² Such as Becker (1968).

³ None of the explanatory factors discussed in Levitt (2004) and Levitt and Miles (2006) -higher number of police, rising prison population, legalized abortion and receding crack epidemics- seems to apply more obviously to the Northern part of the country rather than the South. Moreover, the Southern homicide specificity is essentially a white offender phenomenon: over the period 1980-2007 white offender rates in the Deep South have been 2.8 what they have been in Northern states. Black offender rates are 'only' 1.4 times higher, a difference that is no longer significant since the end of the 1980s. The analysis by Levitt (2004) and Levitt and Miles (2006) is focused on explaining the sharp decline of homicide rates in the 1990s, a decline which, again, was much sharper for black offender rates than for white offender rates: black offender rates declined 1.32 times more than white offender rates (source of data: UCR).

⁴ In Messner et al. (2005), p. 634.

primarily on herding.⁵ The tendency of a herding society to develop a culture of honor, where any slight or insult leads to violence, has been described in the historical literature (Braudel, 1949; Pitt-Rivers 1966) and the anthropological literature (Edgerton 1992, Pigliaru 1959).⁶ A herder's livelihood is precarious in a way that a farmer's is not: he can easily lose most of his wealth through theft. Aggression and a willingness to kill can be essential to build a reputation for toughness and deter animal theft.

To investigate this hypothesis, this paper uses historical census data on early settlements to the United States and examines how much and under what circumstances Scot or Scots-Irish settlements in the late 18th and early 19th century and herding explain contemporary homicide. Since most settlers from Ireland prior to the 1840s' Potato Famine were Presbyterian Ulster Scots, I identify the Scots-Irish settlers from the first US census in 1790. For robustness and to increase the population size, Presbyterian US natives in the 1900 census are also used as a proxy for the Scots and Scots-Irish. Contemporary homicide data at the county level comes from the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program Data by the United States Department of Justice and Federal Bureau of Investigation. Results confirm that high numbers of Scot or Scots-Irish settlers are associated with higher homicide rates today. The effect is strongest among whites and more pronounced in counties where herding was more prevalent. It is more likely that homicides perpetrated in defense of one's reputation involve people who, although not directly related, know one another. Accordingly, the results are specific to violence between acquaintances as opposed to violence between strangers or lovers.

The Nisbett and Cohen hypothesis predicts that the North-South difference in homicide rates is due to pastoralism among the Scots-Irish relative to other settlers and the greater presence of the Scots-Irish in the US South. The results in this paper illustrate something different. Scot or Scots-Irish presence explains violence in the South only. Differences in pastoralism also only

⁵ The average homicide rates in Scotland and Northern Ireland between 2003 and 2007 were, respectively, 2.3 and 1.92 per 100,000. In comparison, the homicide rate in England and Wales was 1.5, in France: 1.5 and in Germany: 0.92.

⁶ Robert Edgerton (1992) describes natural experiments where two tribes living in the same region of East Africa but differing in their economic occupations display different tendency for violence and warfare. Antonio Pigliaru (1959) describes the codes of honor and the reliance on interpersonal violence among Sardinian herdsmen in a book with an evocative title: "La Vendetta Barbaricina Come Ordinamento Giuridico". Fernand Braudel (1949) describes the mountainous herding people of the Mediterranean rim, their reputation for violence and warfare and the lack of order and hierarchy in such societies. The limitations of law enforcement on mountainous terrain fail to deter thieving, thereby creating favorable conditions for the prevalence of "private" law, also described as "machismo" (Edgerton 1992) or "lex talionis" (Cohen and Nisbett 1996).

matter in the South and when present simultaneously with Scot or Scots-Irish presence. In other words, the culture of honor only survived in the South. The question that arises and that is investigated next is: What is the nature of such cultural selection?⁷ Wyatt-Brown (2001) depicts how in the North, formal and impersonal institutions quickly substituted for the ethic of honor as the cement of social and political order in the 19th century. A reason why the culture of honor only survived in the South may have to do with the weakness of the institutional environment, which provided the fertile ground for the reliance on violent private justice. Leeson (2009) describes how the Scots-Irish culture emerged as a response to the lawlessness, intergroup banditry and large-scale cattle theft that characterized for centuries the Anglo-Scot border where the Scots-Irish originated. In the absence of formal law enforcement, honor and reputation were of central importance and provided the main enforcement mechanism of customary law.⁸ In the frontier South, also a lawless environment, such informal institutions may have provided the best adaptive response and became the prevailing norm.

I follow Besley and Prat (2006) and Gentzkow et al. (2006) and use data on newspaper circulation in 1840 as a proxy for institutional quality and political competition. I find not only that institutional quality is directly associated with reduced violence but also that it severs the link between Scot or Scots-Irish presence and homicide. Also, evidence suggests that the culture of violence was indeed ‘selected’ as a cultural norm and was internalized by Southerners. Even outside the South, the higher the proportion of migrants from the South is, especially from Southern states that had a high intensity of Scot or Scots-Irish settlements, the higher the homicide rate is today. Alternative channels of cultural transmission are investigated next in order to explain how Scots-Irish cultural traits have become a prevailing social norm (see Bisin and Verdier 2008 for a review of the literature on cultural transmission). First, vertical socialization from parents to children implies that agents internalize cultural norms within families, so that even today, Americans of Scots-Irish ancestry should display cultural traits

⁷ By the term selection, I mean the process of “natural selection of cultural variations” in the sense of Richerson and Boyd (2005, page 76) and not selection as traditionally understood by economists. Richerson and Boyd (2005) argue that the logic of natural selection applies to cultural traits: “Cultural variation affects people’s behavior in ways that affect the probability that they transmit their beliefs to others” (page 76). Such “others” can be children or peers. Indeed, in addition to vertical transmission of cultural traits from parents to children, which operates in an identical way to the natural selection of genes, another transmission process affects the selection of cultural traits: horizontal transmission, which operates through learning and imitation.

⁸ For example, individuals who did not conform to customary law were publicly denounced and challenged to duels, a practice called “bawling”. For an example of similar cultural codes as a response to lawlessness and banditry in a different context, see Pigliaru (1959).

consistent with a culture of honor. I test whether homicide rates are higher today in counties with high proportions of Americans of Scots-Irish ancestry, as self-reported in the 2000 census.⁹ This, again, is true only in areas with weak formal institutions: the South and the Mountain West. I also find evidence of vertical transmission, among Southerners of Scots-Irish ancestry, of a value system that is consistent with the prevalence of private justice and that is characterized by strong beliefs in self-reliance and a mistrust of centralized institutions. Second, oblique or horizontal socialization through peer effects and learning implies that the initial culture of the Scot and Scots-Irish settlers still prevail in certain areas of the South because other settlers, regardless of their origin, improved their fitness by adopting such violent cultural traits. Indeed, settlers from other countries of origin are found to be associated with more violence in counties where the proportion of Scots and Scots-Irish was higher.

The effect of the Scot and Scots-Irish presence in the Southern United States on contemporary homicide rates is sizeable. Controlling for a wide number of contemporary socio-economic and demographic characteristics as well as for the influence of slavery, every extra hundred Scot or Scots-Irish settlers in a county of the Deep South in 1790 is associated with an increase in the yearly homicide rate by 13% overall and by 18% for white offenders (for counties in the Deep South, the average number of Scot or Scots-Irish settlers in 1790 is 412 and the average total population is 12,256).

An alternative explanation to the results is that the Scots and Scots-Irish migrated to counties, the characteristics of which still lead to a high prevalence of homicides. It could be the case, for example, that they migrated to Southern counties where slavery was also high, and this confounds the relationship discussed in this paper. Several strategies are pursued in order to establish that the relationship between Scot or Scots-Irish settlements and homicide related violence is causal. First, the results are robust to controlling not only for the influence of slavery but also for lawlessness and other determinants of crime, such as poverty, inequality, racial composition or ethnic fractionalization. Second, several falsification tests are performed. The positive relationship between early settlers and contemporary homicides in the South does not hold for settlers that originated from countries where farming was more developed, such as England, Holland, Germany or France or for other religious denominations in 1900. Nor does it

⁹ The proportion of Americans of Scots-Irish ancestry is slightly higher in the Deep South and the West (1.8% to 2%) compared to the rest of the country (1.47%).

hold for other violent crime unrelated to a self-protection ethic. Third, the approach by Altonji, Elder and Taber (2005) and Nunn and Wantchekon (forth.) is used to calculate how much greater the influence of unobservable factors would need to be, relative to observable factors, to explain away the full positive relationship between the Scots-Irish settlers and contemporary homicides. It is highly unlikely that the estimate can be fully attributed to unobserved heterogeneity. Last, results are robust to the instrumentation of Southern settlements by the distance to Shallow Ford, a major crossroads on the settlers' historical road to the backcountry.

Section 2 provides some historical background. Section 3 presents the data and descriptive statistics. Section 4 presents the empirical methodology and the results, the robustness of which is investigated in Section 5. Section 6 discusses the determinants of selection of the culture of honor in the South and cultural transmission channels. Section 7 concludes.

2. Historical Background

The theory developed by Cohen and Nisbett deals with settlers from the fringes of Britain, areas not suitable for intensive farming, and most particularly the “Scots-Irish”. The term “Scots-Irish” was coined in the US in the 19th century to differentiate the Protestant –mainly Presbyterian– people from the Irish northern province of Ulster, the Ulster Scots, from the Catholic Irish. The Ulster Scots originated from the Anglo-Scot borderlands, which extended from the River Cree to the North Sea on the Scottish side and from the coast of Cumberland to the coast of Northumberland on the English side. The marshes were a conflict-ridden and lawless environment and the borderers “embraced banditry as a way of life [...]”: unlike common bandits, for them raiding, arson, kidnapping, murder and extortion were an important part of the social system” (Leeson 2009, p. 477). The “border reivers” were resettled to Ulster after James VI of Scotland became James I King of England in 1603. The objective of this “Plantation” was to bring peace to the Anglo-Scot borderland and provide fighting men to suppress the native Irish.

Prior to the 19th century, the vast majority of migrants from Ireland consisted of Ulster Scots. Protestants were only one-third of the population of Ireland, but represented three-quarters of all emigrants leaving between 1700 and 1776 (Adamson 1982). Their migration was completed over the course of the 18th century. It is estimated that over 200,000 Ulster Scots migrated to the Americas between 1717 and 1775 and the reasons behind such mass migration were both religious

and economic (Adamson 1982). Indeed, according to Harris (2006, page 507), even after the Glorious Revolution of 1688, “the Protestant squirearchy who dominated the Irish Parliament [...] showed themselves almost as concerned by the threat of Protestant dissent and especially the Scottish Presbyterians in Ulster, as they were about popery”. Things were particularly difficult for the Presbyterians in the last years of Queen Anne’s reign in the early 18th century. In particular, the 1704 English Test Act required all office-holders in Ireland to take the sacramental test. Presbyterians could no longer serve in the army, the civil service, teaching professions or the police. On the economic side, the Navigation Act had prohibited all exports from Ireland to the colonies and the export of Irish cattle to England since 1660.¹⁰ Around the turn of the century, additional restrictive economic laws were passed. In 1699, the English parliament prohibited wool or woolen goods exports from any Irish ports except Drogheda, Dublin, Waterford, Youghal, Cork and Kinsale, none of which is in Ulster. Last, the practice of rack-renting by landlords, the majority of whom were English, led to exorbitant land rents in Ulster. All of these enactments were particularly detrimental to the Ulster Scots and provoked a first mass migration to the New World at the beginning of the 18th century. They first settled in New York, where they founded the Orange and Ulster counties. The first wave of migration to Pennsylvania occurred in 1717-1718. By 1738, Ulster Scot settlers had made their way from Pennsylvania into Virginia. Three subsequent waves of migration occurred in 1739-1740, 1754-1755 and 1771-1775.

Other important groups of settlers around the same time were Highland Scots, driven from their homeland by the defeat of Bonnie Prince Charlie (Charles Edward Stuart) in 1745, as well as Germans and Dutch. As a late and impoverished arriving group, Ulster and Highland Scots and, to a large extent, the Germans, found land in the coastal areas of the English colonies already owned or too expensive and left for the back country on “The Great Philadelphia Wagon Road”, along the Appalachian Mountains southward to the Carolinas. The hilly terrain reinforced herding as the basis of the economy of the Scots and Ulster Scots: it was often unsuitable for intensive agriculture, and even when it was, they tended to farm in low efficiency horticultural fashion.¹¹

¹⁰ A prohibition made permanent in 1666.

¹¹ Cohen and Nisbett (1996), page 8.

Although migration from Ireland consisted primarily of Ulster Scots prior to the 19th century, the mass migration that followed the 1840s' Irish Potato Famine consisted mainly of Irish Catholics whose cultural and economic bases were very different. This newer wave of Irish Catholics often worked as laborers and tradesmen and typically settled in the coastal urban centers before many migrated to the interior to labor on large-scale 19th century infrastructure projects. Their interactions with the –rural based- Ulster Scots were very rare.

Anecdotic evidence on the ruthlessness and violence of the Scots-Irish and the Scots in the Southern United States abound. Wyatt-Brown (2001) describes how the upbringing of President Andrew Jackson by his Scots-Irish parents nurtured his acute sense of honor, which made him the epitome of the Southern culture of honor (Wyatt-Brown, 2001, chapter 3). He writes that: “The Scots-Irish were more prone to personal violence and more conscious of honor than any other group then¹² settled in the country”. McDonald and McWhiney (1975) also recount how “[the Scots-Irish herdsman] is deadly if provoked, and the readiest way to provoke him is to treat him or his kin disrespectfully; he never forgot or forgave an insult” (page 166). A contemporaneous witness of the Scots-Irish settlements, St John de Crevecoeur described how: “The Irish [...] love to drink and to quarrel; they are litigious, and soon take to the gun” (St. John de Crevecoeur 1782, Letter 2). Historical crime data from the 18th century support this depiction. Roth (2009) reports that the Irish represented less than 4% of the population but 13% of homicide assailants in New England and Virginia between 1676 and 1800. The Scots were nearly as violent: they represented 20% (New England) to 26% (Virginia) of homicide assailants but only 12% of the population. Similarly high ratios of homicide assailants to population of Scots and Irish settlers persisted in the 19th century. Homicide rates were high too, at about 13.6 per 100,000 colonists in the Shenandoah Valley of Virginia between 1645 and 1775 (Roth 2009).

3. Data Sources and Description

3.1. Crime Data

Crime data comes from the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program Data by the United States Department of Justice and Federal Bureau of Investigation. The unit of observation is the monthly count of occurrences of different offenses in each reporting agency. The UCR data

¹² The author refers to the time period around President Jackson's (1767-1845) childhood.

provide information on 43 offenses and the counts of arrests by age, sex, and race for each offense in more than 17,000 reporting agencies throughout the country. With the data on arrests by age, sex and race and the number of offenses, it is possible to link offenses to the characteristics of a person arrested. The process is however not perfect: there is no information on conviction and the number of arrests does not always coincide with the count of offenses. When this is the case, the minimum value between arrests and offenses is considered.¹³

The main offense of interest to test the culture of honor hypothesis is “Murder and non-negligent manslaughter”. Ideally, one would like to analyze criminal behavior of offenders of different ancestries, but arrest data does not include such information. Only the offender’s race is known. Homicide by white offenders is retained as the main dependent variable of interest.¹⁴ The white offender homicide rate is defined as the number of homicides for which a white offender has been arrested, as a percentage of the white population. Data on aggravated assaults and other types of violent crime or offenses are also retained.

More precise information on the type of homicide is available from the Supplementary Homicide Reports (SHR) of the Uniform Crime Reporting data. The SHR provide information on the relationship between offenders and victims of murders.

The number of agencies reporting to the UCR and SHR has been increasing over the years since the start of the program in the early 1980s. For this reason, the analysis relies mostly on more recent data, for 2000-2007. The unit of observation is a county. Data is available in more than 2900 counties.¹⁵

The UCR data is merged with the 2000 census to obtain crime rates per 100,000 people. Other determinants of crime, such as contemporary demographic, racial and socio-economic county characteristics are taken from the 2000 US census.

The average yearly crime rate per 100,000 people in 2000-2007 is 5.8. States with the highest crime rate are North and South Carolina and the lowest are Maine and New Hampshire.¹⁶ The most violent county is Kenedy County in Texas, followed shortly by Clay and Taliaferro

¹³ This results in a lower number of total offenses by categories of offenders than the total count of offenses.

¹⁴ This is the approach in most of the literature, such as Cohen and Nisbett (1994, 1996), Rivera et al. (2002), Henry (2009), among others.

¹⁵ The results are robust to using earlier data from 1985 to 2000.

¹⁶ Alaska and Hawaii are excluded.

counties in Georgia. The US-wide average yearly homicide rate by white offenders is 2.5 per 100,000. This is more than twice the average *total recorded* homicide rate in the EU-12.¹⁷ All descriptive statistics for the sample used in the rest of the analysis are in Table A1.

3.2. Historical data on Settlements

Historical census data is from the National Historical and Geographical Information System and IPUMS (Ruggles et al. 2010). The first US census was recorded in 1790. Censi were then carried out every ten years. However, not all census waves contain information on countries of origin and the first census to contain such information after the 1790 census is in 1870.

As detailed in Section 2, the settlement of Ulster Scots in the US was roughly completed by 1775. The ensuing massive emigration from Ireland to the United States consisted of culturally very different people, Catholics from the South of Ireland. In order to identify the Scots-Irish, the analysis relies on the 1790 census. The 1790 census records information on countries of origin, age, family sizes and slaveholding in 286 counties. Information on the country of origin is available only in 150 counties in 11 states according to the 1790 states boundaries, 13 according to contemporary boundaries.¹⁸ Census data is matched to crime data and a match is obtained for 150 counties. Among these counties, the most violent counties are in South Carolina: Marlboro for overall homicide and Orangeburg for homicides by whites.

Cohen and Nisbett formulate the culture of honor hypothesis in relation to the Scots-Irish most particularly but generally to all “people from the fringes of Britain” (Cohen and Nisbett, 1996, page 7) that is, not only Ulster, but also Scotland and Wales. The 1790 census records as countries of origin of settlers: “England and Wales”, “Ireland”, “Scotland”, “France”, “Holland”, “Hebrew” and “All other nationalities”. An important drawback is that the Welsh settlers are not distinguished from the English, who are the majority in this group. In the rest of the paper, the main group of interest is the Scots grouped together with the Ulster Scots and is referred to as “Scot or Scot-Irish” in all that follows. Results specific to the Irish only are also discussed throughout.

¹⁷ The comparison of the two figures is a lower-bound estimate of the difference since unsolved crime is not considered in the US number but is in the EU-12 number. The source of data on EU crime rate is the UNODC.

¹⁸ Some counties were carved out of Massachusetts and Virginia and reallocated to, respectively, Maine and West Virginia at the creation of these two states in 1862 and 1820. The 13 States are Connecticut, Maryland, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Vermont, Virginia and West Virginia.

Important information on economic, farming and herding activity was recorded for the first time in the 1840 Census. Hog and sheep were the traditional animals herded by the Scots-Irish (McDonald and McWhiney 1975). Data on herds of hogs and sheep are matched to the 1790 census ancestry information in 148 counties.

The main drawback of the 1790 census is the small population size. For robustness and to increase the population size, the analysis is also performed on the 1900 census. The 1900 census does not contain information on ancestry but records information on religious denominations in more than 2600 counties. Presbyterian US natives in 1900 are used as a proxy for the descendent of the 18th century Scots-Irish settlers. Of course, settlers from other backgrounds may also have been members of the Presbyterian Church and this is an imperfect measure. Correlation coefficients between members of different Presbyterian denominations and the number of Scots-Irish settlers from earlier Censi are presented in the Supplementary Appendix. Members of the Presbyterian Church in the USA correlate best with the 1790 Scots-Irish population and other proxies for Scots-Irish settlements. White native members of the Presbyterian Church in the USA are therefore used as a proxy for the 1900 descendents of the Scots-Irish settlers.

4. Empirical Specification and Results

4.1. OLS Baseline Estimates: Scot or Scots-Irish settlers and violence

As the starting point of the analysis, contemporary homicide rates are regressed on settlements in 1790, a wide array of socio economic and demographic controls and regional dummies for the Deep South, Border South and non-Southern states. The second main specification includes an interaction term between settlers and regional dummies.

The baseline equations are:

$$m_c = \beta_0 + \beta_1 SI_c + \beta_2 BS_c + \beta_3 DS_c + \beta_4 X_c + \varepsilon_c \quad (1)$$

$$m_c = \beta_0 + \beta_1 SI_c + \beta_2 BS_c + \beta_3 DS_c + \beta_4 SI_c * BS_c + \beta_5 SI_c * DS_c + \beta_6 X_c + \varepsilon_c \quad (2)$$

where m_c is the average annual homicide rate per 100,000 people¹⁹ between 2000 and 2007 at the county level. The overall homicide rate and the white offender homicide rate are considered

¹⁹ Based on 2000 county population from the 2000.

in turn as the dependent variable in the main specification. SI_c is the number of settlers from Scotland and Ireland at the county level from the 1790 census. Alternative specifications with settlers from Ireland only (the Ulster Scots) are discussed throughout. In all specifications, the total county population in 1790 and the population density in 1790 are included as controls. An alternative is to include directly the proportion of Scot or Scots-Irish settlers as the main independent variable. However, the proportion variables are noisier and more skewed to the right. Results are nevertheless robust to using proportions as the main independent variable, as well as using log-log or log-linear specifications (see Table C1 in Supplementary Appendix). BS and DS are regional dummies for Border South and Deep South respectively. The excluded regional category is non-Southern states. X_c include, in addition to the 1790 controls, contemporary socio-economic and demographic characteristics from the 2000 census at the county level, namely aggregate earnings, the proportion of the population at or below the poverty line, the racial composition at the county level, the proportion of population in urban or rural areas, the income Gini coefficient and ethnic fractionalization indices. In all specifications, standard errors are clustered at the state level. Supplementary Appendix B presents results of identical specifications using the 1900 census and the Presbyterian white natives as a proxy for the Scots-Irish.

Table 1 presents the baseline estimates for all homicides as well as for homicides by white offenders only. Columns 1 and 5 include settlers and regional dummies only, column 2 and 4 add all contemporary controls. The coefficient on Scots-Irish settlements, although positive and marginally statistically significant in the absence of additional controls, loses significance when contemporary controls are included. Hence, in contrast with the Cohen and Nisbett hypothesis, differences in the presence of Scots or Scots-Irish settlers per se do not contribute to explain the North-South difference in homicide rates.

The remaining columns include, in addition to respective main effects, the interaction term between regional dummies and Scots-Irish settlers. The interaction term between Deep South and Scots-Irish settlers is positive and highly statistically significant, both for the total homicide rate and for the homicide rate by white offenders only.²⁰ The effect of the interaction term is robust to the inclusion of the full set of contemporary socio-economic and demographic controls

²⁰ Similar results are obtained when settlers from Ireland only are considered or when the South as a whole (including Border states and the Deep South) is considered.

in Columns 4 and 8. The results are also robust to controlling for education indicators,²¹ terrain characteristics at the county level, such as mean elevation, differences in elevation and total area, and for extra 1790 controls, such as ethnic fragmentation in 1790 (considering each country of origin and black population as distinct groups in the construction of the fragmentalization index).²² The results are also robust to the inclusion of state fixed effects.

The quantitative effect of the interaction term between Scot or Scots-Irish settlements and Deep South on homicide rate is far from negligible. The value of the coefficient of the interaction between Scot or Scots-Irish settlers and Deep South is 6.36, for the overall homicide rate, and 1.59 for homicide by white offenders. Every extra hundred Scot or Scots-Irish settlers in a county of the Deep South in 1790 is associated with a 10% increase in yearly homicide rates overall and by white offenders only (for counties in the Deep South, the average number of Scot or Scots-Irish settlers is 412 and the average total population is 12,256).²³

The goodness of fit of the full specification of the baseline equation is satisfactory. Information on settlers' regional distribution together with current socio economic and demographic characteristics explains about 50% of the variation of homicide rates across counties. Poverty rates are positively associated with homicide rates. So is the proportion of the population that is black. By contrast, ethnic fragmentation is not significantly associated with homicide rates.

Similar results are obtained using Presbyterian natives in the 1900 census as a proxy for Scots and Scots-Irish settlers (Table B2 in the Supplementary Appendix). A higher population of native white Presbyterians in 1900 is significantly associated with higher homicide rates today, overall and by white offenders, but the effect is only robust in the Deep South. Table B2 in the Supplementary Appendix Similar results still hold when the subsample of Northern, border and deep Southern states is considered (1469 counties, 933 of which in the Deep South) for comparability with the 1790 sample.

Next, the Supplemental Homicide Report (SHR) data is used in order to provide more details on the most common type of homicide in counties with larger Scot or Scots-Irish settlements. The SHR provides information on the relationship between offender and victim. Cultures of honor

²¹ Namely the proportion of people with a high school degree, overall and broken down by race.

²² Results not reported here but available upon request. I thank Stelios Michalopoulos for providing terrain data.

²³ Standardized 'beta' coefficients are 0.53 and 0.60 for the overall homicide rate and homicide rate by white offenders, respectively.

are characteristic of societies based on kinship. It is more likely that homicides perpetrated in defense of one's reputation involve people who, although not directly related, know one another. This is confirmed by the results of regressions that investigate the specific nature of the relationship between the offender and the victim in Table 2. It is specifically homicides involving non-family related acquaintances that are more likely in counties with high proportion of Scots and Scots-Irish in 1790. By contrast, homicides perpetrated by strangers or between lovers are not more likely in such counties.

4.2. The Herding Base of the Culture of Honor

Cultures of honor prevail in pastoralist societies (Braudel, 1949; Edgerton 1992; Pitt-Rivers 1966). A herder's livelihood is precarious in a way that a farmer's is not: he can easily lose most of his wealth through theft. Aggression and a willingness to kill can be essential to build a reputation for toughness and deter animal theft. Data on livestock counts at the county level from the 1840 census is matched to settlers' country of origin data in order to test whether the link between Scot or Scots-Irish settlers and homicide is associated with herding. A similar approach is performed on the 1900 census, which also contains information on herding.

First, livestock counts are included as an independent variable in order to investigate to what extent historical herding activity contributes to homicide. Second, an interaction term between herding and the Deep South is included to capture to what extent herding explains violence within the South. The specifications are thus identical to (1) and (2) above, but with livestock counts per capita instead of Scot or Scots-Irish settlers. Third, a three-way interaction between the number of Scot or Scots-Irish settlers, the number of animals per capita and a Deep South dummy, controlling for any two-way interactions between the variables, is included. The null hypothesis is that the coefficient on the three-way interaction is not significantly different from zero. Rejecting the null would confirm the herding origins of the culture of honor in the South and point to the complementarity between Scot or Scot-Irish cultural background and economic factors as determinants of violence within the South.

Columns 1 and 2 of Table 3 explore the effect of herding alone. Herding on its own does not contribute to violence in general, or in the South relatively to the North, or even within the South. Quite to the contrary, in the Deep South, the number of sheep and hogs per capita is negatively associated with homicide rates, although the relationship is only marginally

statistically significant. The remaining columns include an interaction between Deep South, Scot or Scot-Irish settlers and animals per capita. A two-way interaction between Scot or Scot-Irish settlers and animals per capita is not significantly different from zero (Column 3). However, within the South, the interaction between Scot or Scot-Irish settlers and herding is highly significant in explaining homicide today (Column 3). In all specifications, the sum of hogs and sheep per capita is included, but individual results with either animal are similar. By contrast, a similar interaction with agricultural output is never significantly associated with homicide rates. Results are displayed for homicide by white offenders but results for overall homicide rates are similar.

Similar results are obtained with the population of Presbyterian natives in the 1900 census. The interaction term between Deep South, Presbyterians and the number of sheep and hogs per capita is significantly associated with higher homicide, overall and by white offenders (Table B3).

To sum up, the results establish a link between lethal violence and early Scots and Ulster Scots settlements, but only within the South. The results also confirm the herding origins of the culture of honor: a higher intensity of Scot or Scots-Irish settlements at the county level is associated with higher murder rates today, all the more so in counties where herding was more prevalent. Again, this is true in the South only. This contrasts with the hypothesis spelled out by Nisbett and Cohen: it is not herding or cultural background alone that explains the distribution of homicide but the interaction between such characteristics and the South. The role of institutions in explaining the selection and prevalence of the culture of honor in the South is discussed in Section 6. However, at this point, the results do not unequivocally establish the causal impact of the Scot and Scots-Irish culture on homicide. This is the object of the next Section.

5. Identifying Causal Relationships

5.1. Selection on Observables: Robustness to Slavery

Slavery is another potential explanation for the high prevalence of violence in the South. To be sure, it is not contradictory and may be complementary to the culture of honor hypothesis. Nevertheless, it is necessary for the robustness of the above results to ensure that the relationship between Scot or Scots-Irish settlers and homicides is not confounded by slavery. It would indeed be worrying if counties with high headcounts of Scots and Scots-Irish were also where slavery

was most widespread. This is however unlikely to be the case: according to McDonald and McWhiney (1975), the majority of the Scots-Irish neither owned slaves nor did they aspire to, given that herding was rather profitable. The correlation between Scots or Scots-Irish settlements and slave numbers at the county level is indeed negative and significant at the 10% level (Table B1, Supplementary Appendix). Still, it is useful to check in regression analysis whether the relationship between homicide and Scot or Scot-Irish settlements still holds when the number of slaves in each county in 1790 is included. Results displayed in Table 4 show that the interaction term between Scot or Scots-Irish settlers and Deep South still has a positive and significant effect on contemporary homicide rates when slavery is controlled for. Actually, the coefficient on Scots-Irish settlers becomes even more economically and statistically significant. Results are unchanged whether slaves only or the total black population are considered. Accounting for the influence of slavery, every extra hundred Scot or Scots-Irish settlers in a county of the Deep South in 1790 is associated with an increase in the yearly homicide rate by 13% overall and by 18% for white offenders

It is also notable that Scot or Scots-Irish settlers to the South do no longer explain homicides by black offenders when the black population in 1790 is controlled for.²⁴

All regressions with the 1900 census presented in Supplementary Appendix B include ethnic composition of the counties in the 1900 census.

5.2. First Falsification test: Does the relationship between homicides, South and early settlers hold for other countries of origin?

The above results do not provide sufficient evidence to establish the claim that the specific cultural and economic background of a certain type of settlers caused higher homicide rates. One has to show that the relationship between homicide and early settlers in the South holds for the Scots or Scots-Irish specifically and not for any country of origin of settlers. Else, the results may have nothing to do with a specific cultural background, but rather to the specificities of the US South and/or the type of settlers it attracted, regardless of their cultural background.

Additional specifications include as the main independent variable of interest settlers from other countries of origin in the 1790 census and other religious denominations in the 1900 census. The

²⁴ Results relating to black homicide rates not displayed here but available upon request.

null hypothesis is that the interaction term between Deep South and country of origin is not significant for any country of origin other than Scotland and Ireland. Failure to reject the null would cast doubt on the main proposition of this paper.

Table 5 presents the results of specification (2) where settlers from countries other than Ireland and Scotland, such as France, Germany, Holland, or England and Wales are considered. Their presence in the Deep South is never significantly associated with homicide rate by white offenders (Columns 1 to 4) or homicides in which the offender and the victim are acquaintances (Column 5). Similar results are obtained using the 1900 census: the interaction between Deep South and any other religious denominations apart from Presbyterians is never significantly and positively associated with homicide rates (Table B4, Supplementary Appendix).

In sum, the relationship between early settlers and the contemporary high homicide rate by whites in the South is specific to the Scots and Scots-Irish. This provides support for the main proposition of this paper in two ways. First, it indicates that it is something specific to the cultural background of a specific group of settlers that is at play in explaining high levels of homicide. Second, and equally important, rejecting the null suggests that there is not something specific to the Southern United States, which would for example stem exclusively from geography, which explains high levels of homicide. Indeed, high homicide rates, particularly by white offenders in the South cannot be explained exclusively by the particularities of the South, but instead by the interaction between the particularities of the South *and* the cultural background of a specific type of settlers.

5.3. Second Falsification Test: Other violent crime

The culture of honor is a self-protection ethic, whose purpose is the defense of a reputation. It should thus act as a determinant of homicide, as established above, and of aggravated assaults, which is confirmed by the regression results in Table A2, but not of any type of violent act. The rationale for this falsification test is to verify that the relationship between violence and Scot or Scots-Irish settlements in the South does not derive from a highest propensity of the Scots-Irish towards violence in general but towards a specific type of violence aimed at protecting one's reputation. The null hypothesis is that the interaction term between Scot or Scots-Irish settlers and Deep South does not significantly explain other violent crime unrelated to a self-protection ethic, such as rape.

Table 6 presents the results of regressions in which the outcome variable is the annual average rape rate per 100,000 people by white offenders. There is no significant relationship between Scot or Scots-Irish settlers in the South and rape committed by white offenders. Similarly, the interaction between intensity of Scot or Scots-Irish settlements in the South and herding is never significantly associated with rape (Table A5 in Supplementary Appendix).

Similar results are obtained with the 1900 census. The interaction terms between Presbyterians and Deep South, or between Presbyterians in the Deep South and herding is never significantly associated with rape committed by white offenders (Supplementary Appendix, Table B5).

5.4. Robustness to Lawlessness

Even though no legacy of Scot or Scots-Irish settlements on violent crime unrelated to a self-protection ethic was found, it could still be the case that the counties in which the Scots and Scots-Irish settled experience higher levels of general crime, which in turn could lead to more homicide. If the legacy of Scots-Irish settlements was crime in general – and not only lethal violence – the effect on homicide rates should not be robust to additional controls for contemporary crime. In Table 7, other measures of crime and offenses are included as additional controls in the baseline specification described in Section 4. For example, homicides may be higher because of differences in the propensity to carry weapons, so offenses related to weapon carry are included. Also, property crime may be higher, and homicides associated with property crime could drive the result, so arrests for robberies and burglaries are controlled for. Note that this is unlikely to be the case as it was already established that the driver of the result was homicides between acquaintances.

Individual controls for the rate of weapon carry, property crime (burglaries and robberies) as well as drunkenness offenses are included, first individually, then together. For homicides by white offenders, included controls are other offenses committed by whites only. The effect of Scot or Scots-Irish only settlements on homicide rates is robust to the inclusion of all these controls and the coefficient remains statistically significant from zero at the 1% level when all controls are included together.

5.5. Selection on unobservables

Following Altonji, Elder, and Taber (2005) and Nunn and Wantchekon (forth.), ratios are computed that reflect how much greater the influence of unobservable factors would need to be,

relative to observable factors, to explain away the full positive relationship between the Scot or Scots-Irish settlers and contemporary homicides. Table 8 reports the ratio of coefficients of regressions including full or restricted sets of coefficients. In many cases, the ratio is actually negative, implying that adding controls actually makes the influence of Scot or Scots-Irish settlers more salient. In other cases, explaining away the full positive relationship between the Scots and Scots-Irish or Scots-Irish only settlers and contemporary homicides would require unobservable factors to be 2.3 to 6 times greater than observable factors, making it unlikely that the estimate can be fully attributed to unobserved heterogeneity.

5.6. Instrumental variable estimation

Establishing the causal impact of Scots and Scots-Irish settlements on contemporary violence in the South requires an instrument that is correlated with settlements in the South but uncorrelated with contemporary violence. As most settlers made their way South from Pennsylvanian ports of entry on the Great Philadelphia Wagon Road, a good candidate is the distance to one of the main crossroads on this road: Shallow Ford, a shallow point of crossing on the Yadkin River. Shallow Ford today is an undeveloped wooden area and there is thus little reason to believe that its location should influence contemporary crime. The average distance between the geo-center of each Southern county and Shallow Ford is used as an instrument for settlements in the Deep South. Because of traveling costs, it is expected that the further away from Shallow Ford, the smallest settlements should be.

Instrumental variable regression results are reported in Table 9. First stage estimates are reported in the bottom panel and second stage estimates in the top panel. The first stage estimates show that, as expected, distance to Shallow Ford is negatively correlated with Scot or Scots-Irish settlements in the South. The relevance of the instrument is satisfactory, with a F-stat above 12. The second stage estimates confirm the positive and significant relationship between Scots and Scots-Irish settlers and contemporary homicide rates, overall and by white offenders. All the effects are robust to controlling for slavery in 1790.

The falsification tests performed above are robust to the instrumentation strategy. When instrumented by distance to Shallow Ford, settlers of countries of origin other than Ireland or Scotland are not significantly associated with contemporary homicide. Instrumented settlements of Scot or Scots-Irish settlers have no impact on rape (results not reported here).

6. Cultural Selection and Transmission

6.1. *The Evolution of the Culture of Honor*

Roth (2009) describes high homicide assailant rates by the Scots and the Scots-Irish in the 19th century not only in Virginia but in New England as well (see Section 2). The robust relationship documented in this paper between Scot or Scots-Irish settlements in the South and interpersonal violence indicates that the culture of honor has persisted, but only in the South. This points to more subtle cultural transmission mechanisms than the simple Cohen and Nisbett hypothesis according to which, essentially, cultural traits were brought along by settlers, transmitted intact to the next generations and dictate violent behavior regardless of the current environment. Instead, there must be something specific to the South that explains why such a violent culture shows up there only. One could think of two possible explanations. A first possibility is that violent cultural traits were, indeed, transmitted intact to next generations and persisted everywhere but only show up today in the South because of the specific contemporary institutional environment of the region. A second possibility is that violent cultural traits have only persisted in the specific institutional environment of the South but died out in the North. To put things simply, the first view supposes that the institutional environment only affects the activation of a cultural trait while the second considers that the institutional environment also affects the selection²⁵ of a cultural trait.

Historical accounts are consistent with the (second) view that the early institutional environment of the US strongly influenced the survival of the culture of honor. Wyatt-Brown (2001) depicts how in the North, formal and impersonal institutions quickly substituted for the ethic of honor as the cement of social and political order in the 19th century. If it is indeed the case the institutional environment affected the selection of violent cultural traits rather than just activates such traits, one should observe that individuals born and raised in the South behave more violently than those raised elsewhere, regardless of the institutional environment in which they live.²⁶ The 10% individual sample from the 1880 census is used in order to measure, at the state level, the number of native white males born and raised in different regions of the United States. Regional

²⁵ See footnote 8 for the meaning of the term ‘selection’ in this context.

²⁶ A literary example: Mr Johnson in “Cities of The Plain” (Cormac McCarthy, 1988) says: “They were mountain people. They come from mountain people in the old country. They always would shoot you. It wasn’t just here. They kept comin west and about the time they got here was about the time Sam Colt invented the sixshooter and it was the first time these people could afford a gun you could carry around in your belt. That’s all there ever was to it.”

homicide rates in the North are then regressed on the proportion of migrants from the South. All regressions control for the full set of socio-economic and demographic controls in 2000 and for 1880 controls. The purpose of the exercise is to test whether outside the South, violence is higher in counties that received large influxes of migrants born and raised in the South, and most particularly in regions with major Scot and Scots-Irish settlements such as the Carolinas and the Virginias. Results are displayed in Table 10. County level homicide rates outside the Deep South are significantly higher, the higher the proportion is of native white males who migrated from the Deep South (Columns 1) and particularly from the Carolinas and Virginias (Column 3). The analysis goes one step further by controlling for state fixed effects, thereby holding constant the contemporary institutional environment. Results carry through (Columns 2 and 4). This indicates that regardless of the institutional environment in which they live, those raised in the South are systematically associated with more violence.

It thus seems that cultural traits pertaining to the use of interpersonal violence have only survived under the specific conditions of the South. What could such conditions be? Homicide historian Randolph Roth (2009) argues that among the main determinants of homicide are disrespect and mistrust for formal institutions and law enforcement. According to this view, the reason why the culture of honor has persisted in the South has to do with the weakness of formal and political institutions. The culture of honor characterizes a private justice system, which persisted as a substitute for public law and order. Regressions in Table 12 investigate whether the low quality of political institutions has played a role in the persistence of the culture of honor. Following Besley and Prat (2006) and Gentzkow et al. (2006), the presence of weekly or daily newspapers in 1840 is used as an indicator of institutional quality and political competition. The presence of weekly or daily newspapers at the state level, captured by the variable *newspapers*, is negatively and significantly associated with homicide rates (Column 1): homicide is still lower where institutional quality was higher in 1840. Column 2 includes an interaction term between Scot or Scots-Irish settlers and *newspapers*. The coefficient on the interaction term is negative and significant while the main effect of Scots-Irish becomes positive and significant. In other words, counties with larger Scots or Scots-Irish settlements at the end of the 18th century are more violent today, but not those that benefited from better institutional quality in 1840. Institutional quality is thus not only an important determinant of crime but also affects criminal behavior in the long run through the selection of cultural traits. The counterbalancing effect of better

institutional quality on the Scots or Scots-Irish culture of violence is present everywhere and within the South as well. Column 4 includes a triple interaction between Scot or Scots-Irish settlers, newspapers and the Deep South. The interaction term is negative and statistically significant.

The relationship between institutional quality and homicide may explain the specificity of the Scots and Scots-Irish with regards to homicide pattern. The Scots-Irish had developed informal institutions characterized by a high reliance on honor, reputation and interpersonal violence as an adaptive response to the lawlessness and economic vulnerability that characterized the Anglo-Scot border where they originate (Leeson 2009). Scottish highlands were also areas where formal institutions were weak. In the similarly lawless environment of the frontier South, the cultural traits of the Scots and Scots-Irish may have provided the best adaptive response. The next subsection explores the cultural transmission mechanisms that explain how such culture could become the prevailing cultural norm in the South.

6.2. Cultural Transmission

If the Scots-Irish culture of honor was selected in the South as the best adaptive behavior to lawlessness and economic vulnerability, a question that immediately arises is how such a violent culture has persisted, despite changes in economic and institutional conditions and in particular the disappearance of herding as the main source of activity. The socio-psychological literature and the cultural transmission models à la Bisin and Verdier (2001) explain cultural persistence by the hysteresis of cultural norms that are transmitted from one generation to the next. The main idea of this literature is that the backward looking behavior of parents, who transmit their own values to their children, generates hysteresis that can explain the slow adaptation of cultural values to new economic environments.²⁷ In accordance with this thesis, Cohen and Nisbett (1996) document differences in children's socialization by Southerners vs. Northerners. For example, Southerners are more likely to defend corporal punishments and to “advocate spanking to discipline their children” (Cohen and Nisbett 1996, page 67). In parallel, studies have shown that socialization for aggression in boys in childhood is a strong predictor of higher rates of homicide and assault (Ember and Ember 1994).

²⁷ See Fernandez and Fogli (2007) in the context of work and fertility decisions, Tabellini (2008a and 2008b) for social trust, Hauk and Saez Marti (2001) for corruption.

Vertical cultural transmission from parents to children implies that agents internalize cultural norms within families so that even today, Americans of Scots-Irish ancestry should display cultural traits that are consistent with a culture of honor. To test for the relevance of such a transmission mechanism, contemporary homicide rates are regressed on the proportion of Americans who report Scots-Irish as first or second ancestry in the 2000 census.²⁸ Results are reported in Table 12. As can be seen in Column 1, it is not the case that Americans of Scots-Irish ancestry are associated with more violence regardless of their geographic distribution. Again, it is only the case in areas with weak institutional environments, such as the South and the Mountain West (Column 2). The culture of honor may have been as much of an appropriate behavior in the lawless environment of the Mountain West as it had been in the South.

If a culture of violence was selected in the South as a system of private justice in response to lawlessness and large-scale theft, it was precisely the Scots-Irish culture that may have proved to be the most adequate. It is not suggested here that the culture of honor was designed specifically as a response to the circumstances of the herding economy of the Southern United States. Instead, such cultural traits were preexisting among a certain category of settlers. Because such traits were the best adaptive response to economic vulnerability and lawlessness, they were selected and transmitted to other and subsequent settlers and became the prevailing cultural norm. Leeson (2009) describes how the Scots-Irish cultural norms emerged as a decentralized response to the lawlessness, intergroup banditry and large-scale cattle theft that characterized, for several centuries, the Anglo-Scot borders where the Scots-Irish originated.²⁹ The Scots and Scots-Irish were historically defiant of centralized institutions (Leeson 2009, Webb 2004). Such attitudes may have been caused or at least reinforced by pastoralism. Burnham (1979) namely describes how capital mobility in pastoral societies inhibits the development of both political centralization and class stratification. Tendencies to self-rule and to develop an informal order as

²⁸ One could raise doubts about the reliability of self-reported ancestry information in census data. The results are nonetheless robust to the instrumentation of Americans of self-declared Scot or Scots-Irish ancestry by white, native Presbyterians in the 1900 census. Results are not reported here, but the instrument has a strong predictive power (F stat of 863) and the coefficient on the instrumented variable is significant and positive at the 5% level in explaining murder rates by white offenders in the Deep South.

²⁹ The author specifically refers to the 250-year period between the first War of Scottish Independence in 1296 and the Treaty of Norham in 1551, England and Scotland were in open conflict with one another and the borderland was in anarchy. Even after the 1551 Treaty, even though there was no official war, the tradition of enmity between various clans continued, “with each group’s members viewing the other’s as targets whom they might murder, kidnap, and despoil without compunction” (Leeson 2009, page 475). Pigliaru (1959) describes similar systems of informal justice based on honor, reputation and interpersonal violence among Sardinian herdsmen.

a substitute for formal law enforcement may have been exacerbated in the weak institutional environment of the South. Regressions displayed in Table 13 explore whether Southerners of Scots-Irish ancestry, beyond being associated with more violence, also display a value system that is consistent with the reliance on private justice. I use attitudinal data from the *General Social Survey* and explore whether American of self-reported Scots-Irish ancestry differ significantly in their attitude towards self-reliance and centralized institutions. The results confirm that Southerners of Scottish and Scots-Irish ancestry have a higher propensity to own guns and to be less trusting of the federal government and of formal law enforcement (the Supreme Court). It is also worth noting here that there is no evidence in the data that out-migration rates for people of Scots-Irish ancestry was any different from out-migration rates for people of other European ancestry, such as English or German.³⁰

In addition to vertical transmission mechanisms, the literature on cultural transmission discusses the influence of ‘oblique and horizontal socialization’ mechanisms through peer effects and learning (see Bisin and Verdier 2008 for a review). To test for the presence of horizontal transmission mechanisms, I investigate whether settlers of countries of origin other than Ireland and Scotland are associated with more violence in counties where the proportion of Scot or Scots-Irish is higher. The tested hypothesis is: if settlers imitated the Scots-Irish cultural norm, those living in counties with higher proportion of Scots-Irish should be associated with more violence. Failure to reject the null hypothesis that such an interaction is not different from zero is interpreted as a sign of horizontal cultural transmission. The coefficient of interest is that of the interaction between Deep South, the proportion of Scots or Scots-Irish settlers and the number of settlers from Holland, France or Germany. Results are reported in Column 1 of Table 14. The null is rejected: Dutch, French or German settlers in counties with high proportions of Scots or Scots-Irish are associated with more violence than those in counties with lower proportions of Scots or Scots-Irish. This provides evidence for horizontal transmission of cultural norms from the Scots and Scots-Irish to other settlers and illustrates how, through imitation by other settlers, the culture of violence could have become the prevailing cultural norm in the South.³¹ In Column

³⁰ Historical settlements in 1790 or 1900 do not have a different predicting power on contemporary populations of self-declared Scots-Irish ancestry compared with other European ancestries, such as English or German.

³¹ For example, Roth (2009) writes: “[The Scots and Scots-Irish] appear to have brought fighting techniques like biting and eye-gouging to the colonies, [...] meant to show ferocity and humiliate the victim [...] and they were immediately adopted by non-Scots”.

2, a falsification test akin to the one presented in Section 5.2. is performed and shows that there is no evidence of cultural transmission from the Scots-Irish to other settlers for violent crime unrelated to a culture of honor.

I then investigate similar issues regarding transmission to African Americans. Wyatt-Brown (2001) argues that culture of honor prospered in the South because of the lack of formal and institutionalized social order and adds that, a fortiori, the culture of honor prevailed in slave barracks. The author describes the “pecking order of the plantation - mirror image of the quarters of the patriarchal, male dominated, honor-obsessed rankings of the white society” (Wyatt-Brown 2001, page 23). If the culture of honor was transmitted to African Americans, one should expect higher homicide rates –especially by black offenders- in counties where black populations cohabited with high proportions of Scots or Scots-Irish settlers. Columns 3 and 4 of Table 14 present results where contemporaneous homicide rates, overall and by black offenders, are regressed on an interaction between blacks and the proportion of Scots or Scots-Irish settlers in the Deep South. The effect of the interaction is never significant, whether black population in 1790 or today is considered. The results thus indicate that the culture of honor was transmitted to other white European settlers of non-Scot or Scots-Irish ancestry but not to African Americans.

7. Conclusion

This paper examines the economic and cultural underpinnings of lethal interpersonal violence. Some authors have hypothesized that the Southern taste for violence is inherited from the Scot and Scots-Irish herders that settled the region. The results illustrate something broadly consistent with this but slightly different. Scot or Scots-Irish presence explains high homicide rates, more particularly by white offenders, but only within the South. Moreover, differences in pastoralism matter, what confirms the herding origins of the culture of honor, but again, only within the South and when they are present simultaneously with the Scots or Scots-Irish. To sum up, it is in the interaction between the cultural background of a specific group of settlers, their economic activity and the institutional environment of the South that the culture of honor finds its root and explains high homicide in the South. The Scots-Irish culture of inter-personal violence, shaped by a history of lawlessness in the Anglo-Scot borderlands and the Scottish Highlands has thrived in areas where the institutional environment was weak. It persisted as a private justice system,

which substituted for formal law enforcement. Associated with such a culture of private violence is a value system stressing the importance of self-reliance and characterized by defiance of formal and centralized institution, which are still carried by Southerners of Scots-Irish decent.

The relationship between Scots and Scots-Irish settlers and homicides is very likely causal. The results are robust to the inclusion of a wide array of contemporary socio economic and demographic determinants of crime and alternative historical determinants of violence, such as slavery. The positive relationship between settlers and homicides does not hold with other countries of origin or when other violent crime that is unrelated to a self-protection ethic are considered. The results are robust to instrumenting Southern settlements by the distance to a major crossroads on the settlers' route to the South. Moreover, consistently with an ethic based on kinship and the defense of a reputation, Scot or Scots-Irish settlements in the South do not explain violence in general but only homicides in which the offender and the victim are acquaintances.

The evidence in this paper points to the fact that a culture of interpersonal violence, characteristics of herding societies, has thrived in areas with weak formal institutions. The influence of herding vs. farming cultures on institutional and economic development, and in particular on state capacity, is the object of future research.

8. References

- Altonji, Joseph G., Todd E. Elder and Christopher R. Taber. 2005. "Selection on Observed and Unobserved Variables: Assessing the Effectiveness of Catholic Schools." *Journal of Political Economy*, 113(1): 151-184.
- Becker, Gary. 1968. "Crime and Punishment: an Economic Approach." *Journal of Political Economy*, 76: 169-217.
- Besley, Timothy and Andrea Prat. 2006. "Handcuffs for the Grabbing Hand? Media Capture and Government Accountability," *American Economic Review*, 96(3): 720-736.
- Bisin, Alberto and Thierry Verdier. 2001. "The Economics of Cultural Transmission and the Dynamics of Preferences." *Journal of Economic Theory*, 97(2): 298-319.
- Bisin, Alberto and Thierry Verdier, ed. 2008. *Cultural Transmission*. The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics, Second Edition, Steven Durlauf and Larry Blume, eds. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Boyd, Robert and Peter J. Richerson. 2005. *Not By Genes Alone. How Culture Transformed Human Evolution*. The University of Chicago Press.
- Braudel, Fernand. 1949. *La Méditerranée et le Monde Méditerranéen a l'Epoque de Philippe II*. Paris: Armand Colin.
- Burnham, Philip. 1979. *Spatial Mobility and Political Centralisation*. In *Pastoral Production and Society: Proceedings of the International Meeting on Nomadic Pastoralism, Paris 1-3 December 1976*. Cambridge: Univ. Press
- Cohen, Dov and Richard Nisbett. 1994. "Self Protection and the Culture of Honor: Explaining Southern Violence." *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 20: 551.
- Cohen, Dov and Richard Nisbett. 1996. *Culture of Honor: The Psychology of Violence in the South*. Westview Press.
- Edgerton, Robert B. 1992. *Sick Societies: Challenging the Myth of Primitive Harmony*. The Free Press.
- Ember, Carol and Melvin Ember. 1994. "War, Socialization, and Interpersonal Violence: A Cross-Cultural Study." *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 38(4): 620-646.
- Fernandez, Raquel and Alessandra Fogli. 2009. "Culture: An Empirical Investigation of Beliefs, Work, and Fertility." *American Journal of Macroeconomics*, 1(1): 146-177.

- Gastil, Raymond. 1971. "Homicide and a Regional Culture of Violence." *American Sociological Review*, 36: 412-27.
- Gentzkow, Matthew, Edward L. Glaeser and Claudia Goldin, ed. 2006. *The Rise of the Fourth Estate: How Newspapers Became Informative and Why it Mattered*. In *Corruption and Reform: Lessons from America's Economic History*. Glaeser and Goldin, Eds. NBER.
- Hackney, Sheldon. 1969. "Southern Violence." *American Historical Review*, 74: 906-25.
- Harris, Tim. 2006. *Revolution: The Great Crisis of the British Monarchy, 1685-1720*. Penguin Books.
- Hauk, Esther and Maria Saez-Marti. 2002. "On the Cultural Transmission of Corruption." *Journal of Economic Theory*, 107(2): 311-335.
- Henry, P. J. 2009. "Low-status Compensation: A theory for understanding the role of status in cultures of honor." *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 97: 451-466.
- Leeson, Peter T. 2009 "The Laws of Lawlessness." *Journal of Legal Studies*, 38(June): 471-503.
- Levitt, Steven D. 2004. "Understanding Why Crime Fell in the 1990s: Four Factors that Explain the Decline and Six that Do Not." *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 18: 163-190.
- Levitt, Steven D. and Thomas J. Miles. 2006. "Economic Contributions to the Understanding of Crime." *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, 2: 147-164.
- McCarthy, Cormac. 1998. *Cities of the Plain*. Volume three of *The Border Trilogy*. New York: Vintage Books.
- McDonald, Forrest and Grady McWhiney. 1975. "The Antebellum Southern Herdsman: A Reinterpretation." *The Journal of Southern History*, 41(2): 147-166.
- Messner, Steven F., Robert D. Baller and Matthew P. Zevenbergen. 2005. "The Legacy of Lynching and Southern Homicide." *American Sociological Review*, 70 (4): 633-655.
- Nunn, Nathan and Leonard Wantchekon. Forthcoming. "The Slave Trade and the Origins of Mistrust in Africa." *American Economic Review*.
- Pigliaru, Antonio. 1959. *La Vendetta Barbaricina Come Ordinamento Giuridico*. Milan: Giuffrè.
- Pitt-Rivers, Julian. 1966. *Honour and Shame: The Values of Mediterranean Society*. Ed. J. G. Peristiany. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Rivera, Craig, Rebekah Chu and Colin Loftin. 2002. "Do strong communities increase homicide? An evaluation of the Nisbett-Cohen Thesis." *The International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*. 22(7/8): 109-124.

Roth, Randolph. 2009. *American Homicide*. Harvard University Press: The Belknap Press.

Ruggles, Steven, J. Trent Alexander, Katie Genadek, Ronald Goeken, Matthew B. Schroeder, and Matthew Sobek. 2010. Integrated Public Use Microdata Series: Version 5.0 [Machine-readable database]. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota.

St. John de Crevecoeur, J. Hector. 1782. *Letters from an American Farmer: Describing Certain Provincial Situations, Manners, and Customs, Not Generally Known; and Conveying Some Idea of the Late and Present Interior Circumstances of the British Colonies of North America*. Letter 2.

Tabellini, Guido. 2008a. "Culture and Institutions: Economic Development in the Regions of Europe." *Journal of the European Economic Association*. 8(4): 677-716.

Tabellini, Guido. 2008b. "The Scope of Cooperation: Norms and Incentives." *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 123(3): 905-950.

U.S. Dept. of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation. Uniform Crime Reporting Program Data – Arrests by Age, Sex and Race 2000 ICPSR03443-v2. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, 2006-10-27. doi:10.3886/ICPSR03443

Webb, James. 2004. *Born Fighting: how the Scots-Irish shaped America*. Broadway Books.

Wyatt-Brown, Bertram. 2001. *The Shaping of Southern Culture. Honor, Grace, and War, 1760s-1880s*. Chapel Hill and London : The University of North Carolina Press.

Wyatt-Brown, Bertram. 1982. *Southern Honor: Ethics and Behavior in the Old South*. New York: Oxford UP.

9. Tables

Table 1: Homicides and Scots-Irish Settlers in 1790 – All and white offenders only

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	Annual homicide rate per 100,000, 2000-2007 average				White offender rate per 100,000, 2000-2007 average			
Scots Irish	0.35*	0.2	0.30**	0.22	0.14*	0.03	0.13*	0.04
	[0.171]	[0.188]	[0.129]	[0.142]	[0.074]	[0.067]	[0.066]	[0.061]
Border South	3.42***	1.63*	2.78***	0.23	1.03***	0.43	1.21**	0.44
	[0.495]	[0.759]	[0.507]	[1.707]	[0.270]	[0.280]	[0.504]	[0.632]
Deep South	4.69**	1.12	1.15**	-2.53*	0.97*	0.16	-0.11	-0.74*
	[1.728]	[1.396]	[0.470]	[1.315]	[0.518]	[0.385]	[0.170]	[0.382]
Border South*Scots Irish			0.39	1.12			-0.28	-0.11
			[0.247]	[1.306]			[0.356]	[0.442]
Deep South*Scots Irish			7.38***	6.36***			2.27***	1.59***
			[0.884]	[1.100]			[0.230]	[0.435]
og agg earnings		0.23		-0.05		0.27*		0.21
		[0.501]		[0.438]		[0.144]		[0.137]
Prop pop urban		-2.24		-2.59		-0.84*		-0.94*
		[2.758]		[2.442]		[0.466]		[0.467]
Prop pop poverty		13.76		6.22		12.83**		10.50*
		[15.195]		[14.458]		[5.143]		[5.872]
Prop pop black		13.32**		11.56***		4.53**		4.23**
		[4.872]		[3.715]		[1.698]		[1.437]
Fractionalization		0.16		3.16		-1.69		-0.97
		[4.111]		[3.417]		[1.463]		[1.267]
Gini		6.03		3.32		-6.12		-6.95*
		[13.843]		[14.092]		[3.641]		[3.549]
County pop 1790	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Pop density 1790	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Observations	149	149	149	149	149	149	149	149
R-squared	0.2	0.44	0.3	0.5	0.11	0.38	0.2	0.42

Notes: All regressions with a constant. Robust standard errors clustered at the state level. ***Significantly different from 0 at the 1% level, **significantly different from 0 at the 5% level, *significantly different from 0 at the 10% level. Homicide rates are yearly average for 2000-2007, per 100,000 people. Number of Scots-Irish settlers and slave population in 1790 scaled by 1,000.

Source: 1790 and 2000 Censi, UCR.

Table 2: Type of Homicide

	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Homicide rate - non family related acquaintances	Homicide rate - non family related acquaintances	Homicide rate - undetermined relation (strangers)	Homicide rate - undetermined relation (strangers)	Homicide rate - lovers	Homicide rate - lovers
Border South	0.03 [0.417]	-0.57 [0.505]	-6.38 [5.255]	-2.46 [7.301]	-0.23 [0.133]	-0.13 [0.117]
Deep South	0.84 [0.562]	-0.33 [0.295]	-5.45 [9.581]	0.05 [6.195]	0.05 [0.211]	0.08 [0.187]
Scots Irish	0.16 [0.090]	0.16* [0.073]	1.47*** [0.451]	1.49*** [0.433]	0.02 [0.014]	0.02 [0.015]
Border South*Scots Irish		0.56 [0.337]		-3.95 [3.787]		-0.11 [0.087]
Deep South*Scots Irish		1.97*** [0.596]		-9.19 [12.237]		-0.04 [0.211]
Socio-eco controls 2000	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
County pop 1790	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Pop density 1790	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Observations	138	138	138	138	138	138
R-squared	0.50	0.53	0.44	0.44	0.25	0.25

Notes: All regressions with a constant. Robust standard errors clustered at the state level. ***Significantly different from 0 at the 1% level, *significantly different from 0 at the 10% level. Homicide rates are yearly average for 2000-2007, per 100,000 people. Number of Scots-Irish settlers scaled by 100. “Socio-eco controls in 2000”: log of aggregate earnings, proportion of population in urban areas, proportion of population below or at poverty level, proportion of the population black, fractionalization index, Gini index.

Source: 1790 and 2000 Censi, UCR Supplementary Homicide Report.

Table 3: The Herding Base of the Scots-Irish Culture of Honor

	1	2	3	4
	White offender homicide rate			
Deep South	-0.09 [0.324]	1.12 [0.889]	-0.07 [0.325]	1.01 [1.266]
Sheep&hogs per capita	-0.03 [0.052]	-0.00 [0.052]	0.00 [0.072]	0.06 [0.079]
Deep South*sheep&hogs per capita		-0.61* [0.346]		-1.17*** [0.430]
Scots Irish			0.09 [0.157]	0.15 [0.165]
ScotsIrish*sheep&hogs per capita			-0.03 [0.035]	-0.05 [0.042]
Deep South*ScotsIrish				-1.19 [0.825]
Deep South*ScotsIrish*sheep&hogs per capita				2.29*** [0.539]
Socio-eco controls 2000	yes	yes	yes	yes
County pop 1790	yes	yes	yes	yes
Pop density 1790	yes	yes	yes	yes
Observations	148	148	148	148
R-squared	0.34	0.36	0.34	0.46

Notes: All regressions with a constant. Robust standard errors clustered at the state level. ***Significantly different from 0 at the 1% level, *significantly different from 0 at the 10% level.

“White offender homicide rate” is the yearly homicide rate average for 2000-2007 by white offenders, per 100,000 people. Number of Scots-Irish settlers scaled by 1,000. Sheep and hogs per 1840 capita. “Socio-eco controls in 2000”: usual controls.

Source: 1790, 1840 and 2000 Censi, UCR.

Table 4: Robustness to Slavery

	1	2	3	4
	Homicide rate	White offender homicide rate		
Scots Irish	0.24 [0.205]	0.19 [0.115]	0.03 [0.069]	0.02 [0.043]
Border South*Scots Irish		1.02 [1.204]		-0.18 [0.377]
Deep South*Scots Irish		8.55*** [1.146]		3.12*** [0.690]
Black slave population 1790	0.13 [0.076]	-0.14 [0.096]	0.00 [0.030]	-0.10** [0.045]
Socio-eco controls, 2000	yes	yes	yes	yes
County pop 1790	yes	yes	yes	yes
Pop density 1790	yes	yes	yes	yes
Observations	149	149	149	149
R-squared	0.45	0.51	0.38	0.45

Notes: See Notes to Table 1. All regional dummies included. Number of Scots-Irish settlers and black slave population in 1790 scaled by 1,000.

Table 5: Falsification I: Homicides by White Offenders and Other Settlers in 1790

	White offender				Homicide rate-non family related acquaintances
	1	2	3	4	5
	Holland	France	Germany	England & Wales	Non Scots-Irish
Settlers	0.01	0.83	0.12***	-0.04	0.05*
	[0.052]	[0.631]	[0.013]	[0.055]	[0.024]
Border South*Settlers	-1.29	-5.03**	-0.16**	-0.03	0.02
	[6.987]	[2.265]	[0.055]	[0.067]	[0.090]
Deep South*Settlers	9.85	0.44	0.20	0.15	0.06
	[22.391]	[1.816]	[0.479]	[0.139]	[0.162]
Socio-eco controls 2000	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
County pop 1790	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Pop density 1790	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Observations	149	149	149	149	138
R-squared	0.38	0.39	0.45	0.42	0.50

Notes: All regressions with a constant. Robust standard errors clustered at the state level. ***Significantly different from 0 at the 1% level, **significantly different from 0 at the 5% level, *significantly different from 0 at the 10% level.

“White offender” corresponds to homicide rates by white offenders. Homicide rates are yearly average for 2000-2007, per 100,000 people. All settlers scaled by 1000. “Non Scots-Irish” is the sum of Dutch, French and German settlers.

“Socio-eco controls in 2000”: log of aggregate earnings, proportion of population in urban areas, proportion of population below or at poverty level, proportion of the population black, fractionalization index, Gini index).

Source: 1790 and 2000 Censi, UCR and UCR Supplementary Homicide Report.

Table 6: Falsification II: Are the Scots-Irish associated with non-culture of honor related violence?

	1	2
	Rape, white offender rate	
Scots Irish	-0.02	-0.03
	[0.186]	[0.193]
Border South*Scots Irish		0.29
		[1.528]
Deep South*Scots Irish		0.24
		[1.690]
Socio-eco controls 2000	yes	yes
County pop 1790	yes	yes
Pop density 1790	yes	yes
Observations	149	149
R-squared	0.29	0.29

Notes: see notes to Table 1. “Rape, white offender” corresponds to rape rates by white offenders. Rape rates are yearly average for 2000-2007, per 100,000 people. Number of Scots-Irish settlers scaled by 1,000. All regional dummies included.

Table 7: Robustness to Lawlessness

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	Homicide rate				White offender			
Scots Irish	0.14 [0.156]	0.11 [0.171]	0.09 [0.112]	0.02 [0.140]	0.04 [0.061]	0.04 [0.070]	0.01 [0.063]	0.01 [0.067]
Border South* Scots Irish	1.25 [1.275]	1.25 [1.294]	1.58 [1.719]	1.52 [1.432]	-0.12 [0.504]	-0.13 [0.437]	-0.43 [0.676]	-0.36 [0.681]
Deep South*Scots Irish	4.45*** [0.728]	6.79*** [1.000]	3.60** [1.340]	4.13*** [1.139]	1.49*** [0.351]	1.57*** [0.464]	1.26*** [0.377]	1.29*** [0.378]
Weapon carry, total	0.04*** [0.010]			0.03** [0.011]				
Drunkenness, all		0.01 [0.003]		0.00* [0.002]				
Property crime, all			0.02* [0.009]	0.01 [0.009]				
Weapon carry, white					0.02*** [0.005]			0.01 [0.008]
Drunkenness, white						-0.00 [0.002]		0.00 [0.001]
Property crime, white							0.01** [0.005]	0.01 [0.006]
Socio-eco controls 2000	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
County pop 1790	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Pop density 1790	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Observations	149	149	149	149	149	149	149	149
R-squared	0.60	0.53	0.57	0.63	0.46	0.42	0.47	0.48

Notes: see Notes to Table 1. All offenses rates are yearly average rates for 2000-2007, per 100,000 people. White rates are computed as the share of offenses committed by whites over the white population. All regional dummies included.

Table 8: Assessing the Bias due to Selection on Unobservables

		Homicide rate	White offender
		Deep South*Scots-Irish	Deep South*Scots-Irish
Controls, full set:	Controls, restricted set:		
Full set from equation (1)	none	4.85	2.30
Full set from equation (1) and black population in 1790	none	-9.72	-3.71
Full set from equation (1)	Total pop. and pop. density in 1790	6.24	2.34
Full set from equation (1) and black population in 1790	Total pop. and pop. density in 1790	-7.31	-3.67

Notes: Each cell reports ratios based on the coefficients for the interaction between Deep South and Scots and Irish settlers from two regressions, one with a restricted set of controls: $\hat{\beta}^R$, the other with the full set of controls: $\hat{\beta}^F$. The ratio is calculated as: $\hat{\beta}^F / (\hat{\beta}^R - \hat{\beta}^F)$. In all regressions, the samples are identical.

Table 9: Instrumental Variable Estimation

Second stage		
	1	2
	Homicide rate	White offender
Scots&Irish	28.48*** [10.625]	8.20*** [2.071]
Irish		
Socio-eco controls, 2000	yes	yes
County pop, pop density1790	yes	yes
Observations	39	39
R-squared	0.43	0.51
First stage		
Scots-Irish		
ln(distance Shallow Ford)	-40.83*** [11.462]	
Socio-eco controls, 2000	yes	
County pop, pop density1790	yes	
Observations	39	
R-squared	0.90	
F-stat of excluded instruments	12.69	

Notes: see notes to Table 1. Distance to Shallow Ford is computed using the great circle formula, between geocenters of counties and Shallow Ford. Deep South sample only.

Table 10: Current Institutions vs. Culture

Dependent variable:	1	2	3	4
	White offender homicide rate outside the Deep South:			
Native white males born in Deep South	371.981** [168.106]	370.514*** [126.880]		
Native white males born in Carolinas and Virginias			347.530** [161.975]	390.613*** [94.267]
Socio-eco controls 2000	yes	yes	yes	yes
Socio-eco controls 1880	yes	yes	yes	yes
State dummies	no	yes	no	yes
Observations	1,484	1,484	1,484	1,484
R-squared	0.190	0.317	0.188	0.317

Notes: All regressions with a constant. Robust standard errors clustered at the state level. ***Significantly different from 0 at the 1% level, **significantly different from 0 at the 5% level, *significantly different from 0 at the 10% level. All regressions control for all 2000 controls (see Notes to Table 2). “Socio-eco controls 1880” are: the population in 1880, black population, manufacturing wages and rural vs. urban in 1900.

Source: 10% individual sample from the 1880 census, 1900 census, UCR.

Table 11: Institutional quality: newspapers

	1	2	3	4
	Homicide rate			
Deep South	-3.68	-1.75	-7.20**	-37.37***
	[2.579]	[2.747]	[2.631]	[6.917]
Scots Irish	0.07	5.31**	1.03	1.31
	[0.234]	[1.859]	[1.317]	[1.322]
Newspapers	-6.02*	-0.95	-6.64**	-6.44
	[2.806]	[3.114]	[2.949]	[5.429]
Scots Irish*newspapers		-8.07**	-1.63	-2.06
		[2.804]	[2.063]	[1.948]
Deep South*Scots Irish			7.99***	24.45***
			[1.720]	[4.682]
Deep South*newspapers				223.91***
				[39.637]
Deep South*Scots Irish*newspapers				-132.92***
				[28.414]
Socio-eco controls 2000	yes	yes	yes	yes
County pop 1790	yes	yes	yes	yes
Pop density 1790	yes	yes	yes	yes
Observations	149	149	149	149
R-squared	0.467	0.510	0.537	0.562

Notes: All regressions with a constant. Robust standard errors clustered at the state level. ***Significantly different from 0 at the 1% level, **significantly different from 0 at the 5% level, *significantly different from 0 at the 10% level. *newspapers* is the average, at the state level, of a dummy variable that indicates the presence of weekly or daily newspapers. All regressions control for all regional dummies and interactions.

Source: 1790 census, 1840 census, UCR.

Table 12: Vertical Transmission

	1	2
	White offender homicide rate	
Scots Irish 2000	-0.80 [2.64]	-5.75** [2.30]
Border South*Scots Irish 2000		10.96** [2.30]
Deep South*Scots Irish 2000		3.86** [1.88]
West*Scots Irish 2000		3.97 [3.26]
Mountain West* Scots Irish 2000		11.29*** [3.38]
Midwest*Scots Irish 2000		5.44 [3.26]
Population, 2000	yes	yes
Socio-eco controls, 2000	yes	yes
Observations	2,845	2,845
R-squared	0.063	0.064

Notes: All regressions with a constant and regional main effects. Robust standard errors clustered at the state level. ***Significantly different from 0 at the 1% level, **significantly different from 0 at the 5% level. “Socio-eco controls, 2000”: usual controls. “Scots Irish 2000”: people reporting Scots-Irish as first or second ancestry in the 2000 census, per 100,000. Mountain West: ID, MT, WY, AZ, NM, CO, NV, UT. West: CA, OR, WA. Midwest: IL, IN, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, ND, SD, OH, WI.
Source: 2000 census, UCR.

Table 13: Attitudes of the Scots-Irish towards self-reliance and centralized institutions

	1 Weapon at home	2	3 Confidence Sup. Court	4	5 Confidence Fed. Gov.	6
Scots or Scots Irish (SI)	-0.002 [0.010]	-0.021*** [0.003]	0.008 [0.040]	0.166*** [0.013]	-0.027 [0.016]	-0.007 [0.016]
Border South*SI		0.051 [0.028]		-0.318*** [0.025]		-0.064 [0.040]
Deep South*SI		0.033*** [0.005]		-0.145** [0.057]		-0.047** [0.015]
West*SI		0.014 [0.029]		-0.168 [0.114]		0.034 [0.035]
Midwest*SI		0.001 [0.011]		-0.180* [0.088]		0.021 [0.041]
Socio-eco controls 2000	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Observations	39,559	39,559	3,526	3,526	26,338	26,338
R-squared	0.067	0.067	0.013	0.015	0.005	0.005

Notes: All regressions with a constant, year dummies and regional main effects. Robust standard errors clustered at the state level. ***Significantly different from 0 at the 1% level, **significantly different from 0 at the 5% level, *significantly different from 0 at the 10% level. “Scots or Scots Irish”: people reporting Scot or Scots-Irish ancestry, per 100,000. Weapon at home: takes value 1 if the respondent owns a pistol, rifle or shotgun. The GSS asks: “Would you say you have a great deal of confidence (coded 1), only some confidence (2), or hardly any confidence at all (3) in [these institutions]?” “Confidence Sup Court”, respectively “Confidence Fed. Gov”, is the answer to this question about the U.S. Supreme Court, respectively the executive branch of the federal government. “Socio-eco controls 2000”: respondent’s income, working status, marital status, sex, race, whether born in the US and size place of residence.
Source: US General Social Survey 1972-2008 Cumulative data set.

Table 14: Horizontal Transmission: Other white settlers and African Americans

	1	2	3	4
	Other white settlers 1790		Blacks 1790	
	White offender	Rape, white offender	Homicide	Black offender
Prop Scots-Irish	1.43	1.43	4.93	1.76
	[1.056]	[1.056]	[3.603]	[2.154]
other white settlers /Blacks	0.15***	-0.03	-0.86	-0.61
	[0.030]	[0.101]	[0.656]	[0.367]
prop Scots-Irish *other settlers / Blacks	-0.62***	0.05	0.142	0.399
	[0.198]	[0.867]	[0.343]	[0.457]
Deep South*other settlers / Blacks	-2.44***	1.15**	1.41*	1.03**
	[0.194]	[0.475]	[0.701]	[0.380]
Deep South*prop Scots-Irish	13.44	4.12	204.82	100.69
	[8.147]	[18.143]	[133.743]	[59.391]
Deep South*prop Scots-Irish*other settlers / Blacks	74.30***	-38.36**	-14.35	-15.86**
	[6.283]	[9.019]	[11.357]	[6.465]
County pop 1790, Pop. density 1790	yes	yes	yes	yes
Socio- eco controls, 2000	yes	yes	yes	yes
Observations	149	149	149	149
R-squared	0.55	0.29	0.52	0.49

Notes: All regressions with a constant. Robust standard errors clustered at the state level. ***Significantly different from 0 at the 1% level, **significantly different from 0 at the 5% level, *significantly different from 0 at the 10% level. All main effects and two-way interactions controlled for. “White offender” corresponds to homicide rates by white offenders. “Black offender” corresponds to homicide rates by black offenders (over total population). Homicide rates are yearly average for 2000-2007, per 100,000 people. “Other settlers” is the sum of Dutch, French and German settlers. All settlers scaled by 1,000. “Socio-eco controls in 2000”: usual controls.

Source: 1790 census, UCR.

Appendix: Table A1: Selected Descriptive Statistics

Variable		Obs	Mean	s.d.	Min	Max
Homicide rate	Average annual homicide rate per 100,000, 2000-2007	150	4.29	4.36	0	23.86
Homicide rate white offender	Average annual homicide rate per 100,000, 2000-2007. White offender	150	1.40	1.41	0	8.83
Scots Irish	Scots Irish in 1790	150	1166	1222	0	5934
All non Scots Irish	All non Scot, Irish, English or Welsh, 1790	150	1647	3517	0	22483
Holland 1790	Dutch in 1790	150	513	1646	0	13563
France 1790	French in 1790	150	91	183	0	1516
Germany 1790	German in 1790	150	982	3038	0	22435
England&Wales 1790	English or Welsh 1790	150	12289	11845	340	54925
Proportion Scots Irish	Percentage Scots or Scots Irish in 1790	149	6.58	6.20	0	32.71
County pop 1790	Total county population 1790	149	18457	13361	1074	75980
Pop density 1790	Population density in 1790	149	2.46	6.88	0.05	72
Sheep&hogs per capita	Number of sheep or hogs per 1840 capita	148	2.24	1.69	0.01	12
Newspapers	Dummy presence of daily or weekly newspapers at state level in 1840	150	0.54	0.28	0	1
<u>Socio-eco controls from US 2000 Census:</u>						
Log agg earnings	log aggregate earnings	150	21.46	1.59	18.26	25
Prop pop urban	Proportion population urban (%)	150	61.16	31.23	0	100
Prop pop poverty	Proportion population below poverty line (%)	150	9.43	4.35	2.48	25
Prop pop black	Proportion population black (%)	150	13.21	15.28	0.09	64
Fractionalization	Fractionalization index (%)	150	29.80	18.04	3.26	78
Gini	Gini inequality index	150	42.99	3.94	34.08	59

Source: 1790 and 2000 US Censi, UCR

Table A2: Aggravated Assaults

	1	2	3	4
	Aggravated assault rate		Aggravated assault, white offender rate	
Scots Irish	6.41	8.27	2.28	2.51
	[5.479]	[6.300]	[3.123]	[3.172]
Border South*Scots Irish		-57.48*		4.52
		[27.091]		[19.485]
Deep South*Scots Irish		158.51***		54.57***
		[26.445]		[13.860]
Socio-eco controls 2000	yes	yes	yes	yes
County pop 1790	yes	yes	yes	yes
Pop density 1790	yes	yes	yes	yes
Observations	149	149	149	149
R-squared	0.32	0.43	0.38	0.41

Notes: All regressions with a constant and regional main effects. Robust standard errors clustered at the state level. ***Significantly different from 0 at the 1% level, *significantly different from 0 at the 10% level. Aggravated assault rates are yearly averages for 2000-2007, per 100,000 people. Number of Scots-Irish settlers scaled by 1,000 "Socio-eco controls 2000": usual controls.

Source: 1790 and 2000 US Censi, UCR